

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,987	11/12/2003	Terrence W. Schmidt	1934-8-3	7342
75	90 11/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Bryan A. Santarelli			OLSON, LARS A	
GRAYBEAL JA	ACKSON HALEY LLP			
Suite 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
155 - 108th Avenue NE			3617	
Bellevue, WA	98004-5901		DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0 3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/712,987	SCHMIDT ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lars A. Olson	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,3,12-17,22,23 and 25</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-11,18-21,24 and 26</u> .		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	out hefere or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will I	not be entered			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
11. In the request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:			

13. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 13. Other: Thompson (US 5,791,600) discloses a vessel 32 with one or more mission modules 8, where each mission module has a plurality of cargo racks 24 that are capable of holding cargo that can be removed from each mission module through a hatch 28 and hatch opening 20, as described in lines 9-30 of column 4. Thus, the rejection of claims 1, 4-6, 8-11, 18-21, 24 and 26 is deemed proper and is not withdrawn. Cushing (US 3,602,730) discloses a vessel with a power supply module 32 that provides electrical power to a plurality of cargo containers 22 carried within said vessel. Said power supply module is also inherently capable of providing electrical power to said vessel if desired or necessary through a power distribution system. Thus, the rejection of claims 1, 7 and 19 is deemed proper and is not withdrawn.

LARS A. OLSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Javis Obon 11/1/05

2